Specification and thermodynamical properties for semigroups actions

Paulo Varandas Federal University of Bahia (joint with F. Rodrigues - UFRGS)

AMS/EMS/SPM Meeting - Porto, July 2015

Topological pressure for individual dynamics Classical results

Topological pressure for individual dynamics Classical results

- *M* compact metric space
- $f: M \to M$ continuous
- $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous potential

The topological pressure

$$P_{top}(f,\varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \{ \sup_{E_n} \sum_{x \in E_n} e^{S_n \varphi(x)} \}$$

- measures 'weighted complexity' on the space of orbits
- satisfies a variational principle

$$P_{\mathsf{top}}(f, \varphi) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(f)} \left\{ h_{\mu}(f) + \int \varphi \, d\mu \right\}$$

• (in some cases) measures exponential growth rate of weighted periodic points

$$P_{top}(f,\varphi) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{f^n(x) \neq x} e^{S_n \varphi(x)} \right)$$

Thermodynamical formalism for individual dynamics Classical results

[Adler, Konheim, McAndrew 65'] Definition of topological entropy

[Ruelle 68'] The pressure function $\beta \mapsto P_{top}(f, \varphi + \beta \psi)$ is analytic

[Bowen 71'] Specification \Rightarrow Positive entropy

[Ruelle 73' Walters 75'] Variational principle for continuous maps

[Parry 64' Bowen 71', 74'] Specification & expansiveness $\Rightarrow \exists !$ equilibrium state μ_{φ} for every Hölder potential φ , obtained as weak*-limit of

$$\frac{1}{Z_n}\sum_{x\in Per_n(f)}e^{S_n\varphi(x)}\delta_x \quad \text{with } Z_n=\sum_{x\in Per_n(f)}e^{S_n\varphi(x)}$$

and

$$h_{top}(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sharp Per_n(f)$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ *)へ(*















▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ = = *)ぬ(*

Specification for individual dynamics: precise definition

A continuous map $f : X \to X$ satisfies the specification property if for any $\delta > 0$ there exists an integer $p(\delta) \ge 1$ such that the following holds: for every $k \ge 1$, any points x_1, \ldots, x_k , and any sequence of positive integers n_1, \ldots, n_k and p_1, \ldots, p_k with $p_i \ge p(\delta)$ there exists a point x in X such that

$$d\Big(f^j(x), f^j(x_1)\Big) \leq \delta, \quad \forall \, 0 \leq j \leq n_1$$

and

$$d\Big(f^{j+n_1+p_1+\dots+n_{i-1}+p_{i-1}}(x), f^j(x_i)\Big) \leq \delta$$

for every $2 \le i \le k$ and $0 \le j \le n_i$.

More general dynamical systems

Motivated by the number of different applications the following classes of dynamical systems have been intensively studied:

- 1. Non-autonomous / sequential dynamical systems
- 2. Iterated function systems (IFS)
- 3. Group and semigroup actions

Sequential dynamical systems

Non-autonomous (or sequential) dynamical systems $\mathcal{F} = (f_k)_{k \geq 1}$

 $F_n = f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_2 \circ f_1$ for $n \ge 1$

Some difficulties include:

- non-stationarity (no common invariant measures!)
- omega-limit sets are not necessarily invariant sets
- 'periodic points' defined by truncating dynamics



'Topological & probabilistic complexity'

Finitely generated (semi)groups

$$(G, \circ)$$
 finitely generated (semi)group
 $G_1 = \{id, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ generators & $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} G_n$
 $\underline{g} \in G_n$ if and only if $\underline{g} = g_{i_n} \dots g_{i_2} g_{i_1}$ with $g_{i_j} \in G_1$

(concatenations of at most n elements of G_1)

(G,\circ) is a group	(G,\circ) is a semigroup
generators $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{ \mathit{id}, \mathit{g}_1^\pm, \mathit{g}_2^\pm, \ldots, \mathit{g}_m^\pm \}$	generators $G_1 = \{id, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$
$(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ increasing family in G	$(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ may be non-increasing
$d_G(h,g) := h^{-1}g $ distance	no <i>natural</i> distance

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Notation:
$$G_1^* = G_1 \setminus \{id\}$$
 and $G_n^* = \{\underline{g} = g_{i_n} \dots g_{i_2}g_{i_1} \text{ with } g_{i_j} \in G_1^*\}$

Continuous semigroup actions

We say that $T : G \times X \to X$ is a continuous semigroup action on a topological space X if:

- 1. For every $g \in G$ the map $g \equiv T_g : X \to X$ is continuous
- 2. (gh)x = g(hx) for every $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$

The orbit of $x \in X$ is the set $\mathcal{O}_T(x) = \{gx : g \in G\}$.

 $x \in X$ is 'periodic point' (period *n*) if $\underline{g}_n(x) = x$ for some $\underline{g}_n \in G_n$ $Per(G) = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} Per(G_n)$ set of periodic orbits.

Continuous semigroup actions

We say that $T : G \times X \to X$ is a continuous semigroup action on a topological space X if:

- 1. For every $g \in G$ the map $g \equiv T_g : X \to X$ is continuous
- 2. (gh)x = g(hx) for every $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$

The orbit of $x \in X$ is the set $\mathcal{O}_T(x) = \{gx : g \in G\}$.

 $x \in X$ is 'periodic point' (period *n*) if $\underline{g}_n(x) = x$ for some $\underline{g}_n \in G_n$ $Per(G) = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} Per(G_n)$ set of periodic orbits.

Questions:

- i. Are there *natural* notions of complexity?
- ii. Can it be computed using periodic points/loops?
- iii. Does local complexity propagate?

Motivational example: geodesics and moving billiards table



 $f: \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be smooth expanding map (Bowen-Series map)

 $R_{\alpha}: \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ rotation angle α

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

G semigroup generated by $G_1 = \{id, f, R_{\alpha}\}$

Coding: the semigroups G and $T(G) \leq C(X, X)$



Bijection $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \mapsto \langle g_1(x) = R_{\frac{\pi}{4}}(x), g_2(x) = 4x \pmod{1} \rangle$ Non-injective $\mathbb{Z}_+^2 \mapsto \langle g_1(x) = 2x \pmod{1}, g_2(x) = 4x \pmod{1} \rangle$

Coding: the semigroups G and $T(G) \leq C(X, X)$



Bijection F_2 (free group) $\mapsto \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ Anosov diffeos $g_2 \notin Z(g_1)$

Topological pressure for (semi)group actions

Some (different) notions and contributions:

[Ruelle 73'] [Ghys, Langevin, Walczak 88'] [Friedland 95'] [Bufetov 99'] [Lind, Schmidt 02'] [Bis 08', 13'] [Ma, Wu 11'] [Miles, Ward 11']

Some of these notions require abelianity or amenability

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ →目 ● ○○○

Different flavours

[Ruelle 73'] \mathbb{Z}^{d} -expansive actions with (very strong) specification

2.1. Definitions. Let $\delta > 0$; $E \subset \Omega$ is (δ, Λ) -separated if $(x, y \in E, \text{ and } d(mx, my) < \delta$ for all $m \in \Lambda$) $\Rightarrow (x = y)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega)$. Given $\delta > 0$ and a finite $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$, or given $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ we introduce the *partition functions*

(2.1)
$$Z(\varphi, \delta, \Lambda) = \max_{E} \sum_{x \in E} \exp \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \varphi(mx)$$

where the max is taken over all (δ, Λ) -separated sets, or

(2.2)
$$Z(\varphi, a) = \sum_{x \in \Pi_a} \exp \sum_{m \in \Lambda(a)} \varphi(mx).$$

We write

(2.3)
$$P(\varphi, \delta, \Lambda) = (1/|\Lambda|) \log Z(\varphi, \delta, \Lambda)$$

(2.4)
$$P(\varphi, a) = (1/|\Lambda(a)|) \log Z(\varphi, a)$$

2.2. Theorem. If $0 < \delta < \delta^*$, the following limits exist:

(2.5)
$$\lim_{\Lambda\uparrow\infty} P(\varphi, \delta, \Lambda) = P(\varphi)$$

(2.6)
$$\lim_{a\to\infty} P(\varphi,a) = P(\varphi)$$

and define a finite-valued convex function P on $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Furthermore

$$|P(\varphi) - P(\psi)| \le ||\varphi - \psi|$$



< = > < = > = <> < ⊂

Different flavours

[Ghys, Langevin, Walczak 88'] Entropy for pseudo-groups and foliations

Soit (X, d) un espace métrique et \mathcal{H}_1 une collection finie d'homéomorphismes entre des ouverts de X. On supposera que \mathcal{H}_1 contient id_X et que si $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ alors $f^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Soit \mathcal{H} le pseudo-groupe d'homéomorphismes locaux engendré par \mathcal{H}_1 . Dans cette section, nous définissons l'entropie de \mathcal{H} par rapport au système de générateurs \mathcal{H}_1 .

Si f et g sont dans \mathcal{H}_1 , on n'a pas nécessairement Image(g) \subset Domaine(f) mais nous conviendrons d'appeler composé de f et de g l'application f o g définie sur g⁻¹(Domaine(f)) (éventuellement vide). Notons \mathcal{H}_n la collection des composés d'au plus n éléments de \mathcal{H}_1 ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Si e est un réel strictement positif et si x, x' sont deux points de X, on dira que x et x' sont (n, e)-séparés s'il existe un élément f de \mathcal{H}_n dont le domaine contient x et x' et tel que $d(f(x), f(x')) \ge e$. Une partie A de X est dite (n, e)-séparée si ses éléments sont (n, e)-séparés deux à deux. Notons $N(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, n, e)$ le cardinal maximum (éventuellement $+\infty$) des parties A qui sont (n, e)-séparées. On

$$\begin{split} h(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, \varepsilon) &= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Log} N(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, n, \\ h(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, \varepsilon). \end{split}$$



・ロット (雪) () () () ()

9 V C

-

Different flavours

[Bufetov 99'] Entropy free semigroup actions

Let $w \in F_m^+$, $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k$, where $w_i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $f_w = f_{w_1} f_{w_2} \dots f_{w_k}$. Obviously, $f_{ww'} = f_w f_{w'}$.

To each $w \in F_m^+$, we assign a metric d_w on X by setting

$$d_w(x_1, x_2) = \max_{w' \le w} d(f_{w'}(x_1), f_{w'}(x_2)).$$

Clearly, if $w \leq w'$, then $d_w(x_1, x_2) \leq d_{w'}(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

A subset of X is called a $(w, \varepsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$ -spanning subset if it is a ε -net on X with respect to the metric d_w . The minimum cardinality of a $(w, \varepsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$ -spanning subset of X is denoted by $B(w, \varepsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$.

A subset K of X is called a $(w, \varepsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$ -separating subset if, for any $x_1, x_2 \in K, x_1 \neq x_2$, one has $d_w(x_1, x_2) \geq \varepsilon$. The maximum cardinality of a $(w, \varepsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$ -separating subset of X is denoted by $N(w, \varepsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$. Let

$$\begin{split} B(n,\varepsilon,f_0,...,f_{m-1}) &= \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} B(w,\varepsilon,f_0,...,f_{m-1}),\\ N(n,\varepsilon,f_0,...,f_{m-1}) &= \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|w|=n} N(w,\varepsilon,f_0,...,f_{m-1}). \end{split}$$



Definition. The topological entropy of a free semigroup action is by the formula

. . .

$$h(f_0, ..., f_{m-1}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (\lim \sup_{n \to \infty}) \frac{1}{n} \log B(n, \epsilon, f_0, ..., f_{m-1})$$

From (2), it easily follows that

$$h(f_0,...,f_{m-1}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\lim \sup_{n \to \infty}) \frac{1}{n} \log N(n,\varepsilon,f_0,...,f_{m-1})$$

I.1 Topological pressure:

$$P_{top}((G, G_1), \varphi, E) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|\underline{g}|=n} \sup_{F} \left\{ \sum_{x \in F} e^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(\underline{g}_i(x))} \right\} \right)$$

supremum over all $(\underline{g}, n, \varepsilon)$ -separated sets $F = F_{\underline{g}, n, \varepsilon} \subset E$

$$h_{top}((G, G_1), E) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|\underline{g}|=n} s(\underline{g}, n, \varepsilon) \right)$$

I.2 Entropy:

$$h((G, G_1), E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s(n, \varepsilon, E)$$

where $s(n, \varepsilon)$ is maximal cardinality of (n, ε) -separated sets in E. Entropy taking the compact set E = X.

Simple illustration:

- $egin{aligned} g_1: \mathbb{S}^1 & \to \mathbb{S}^1 & g_2(x) = 2x(mod1) \ g_2: \mathbb{S}^1 & \to \mathbb{S}^1 & g_2(x) = 3x(mod1) \ g_3: \mathbb{S}^1 & \to \mathbb{S}^1 & g_3(x) = 5x(mod1) \end{aligned}$
- I.1 Topological pressure:

$$h_{top}((G, G_1), \mathbb{S}^1) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{|\underline{g}|=n} s(\underline{g}, n, \varepsilon) \right) = \log(\frac{10}{3})$$

I.2 Entropy:

$$h((G, G_1), \mathbb{S}^1) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s(n, \varepsilon, \mathbb{S}^1) = \log 3$$

II.1 Entropy point

 $x_0 \in X$ is an entropy point for $h_{\mathsf{top}}((G,G_1),\cdot)$ if

 $h_{top}((G, G_1), \overline{U}) = h_{top}((G, G_1), X)$ for any open nhood U of x_0

II.2 Entropy point

 $x_0 \in X$ is an entropy point for $h((G, G_1), \cdot)$ if

 $h((G, G_1), \overline{U}) = h((G, G_1), X)$ for any open nhood U of x_0

Rmk: II.2 was introduced by [Bis 13'] which proved that *the set of entropy points is non-emtpy* provided *X* is compact.

III.1 Orbital specification



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

III.1 Orbital specification



Rmk 1: Similar notion is studied on the space of push-forwards **Rmk 2:** Each element in G_1^* must satisfy specification

III.2 Weak orbital specification



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへの

III.2 Weak orbital specification



be defined similarly (not needed for this talk!) , $(\exists , (\exists , (\exists , (i))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))$

 $T: G \times X \to X$ satisfies the weak orbital specification property if: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $p(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that for any $p \ge p(\varepsilon)$, there exists a set $\tilde{G}_p \subset G_p^*$ satisfying $\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\sharp \tilde{G}_p}{\sharp G_p^*} = 1$ for which: for any $h_{p_j} \in \tilde{G}_{p_j}$ with $p_j \ge p(\varepsilon)$, any points $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$, any natural numbers n_1, \ldots, n_k and any concatenations $\underline{g}_{n_j,j} = \underline{g}_{in_j,j} \ldots \underline{g}_{i_2,j} \underline{g}_{i_1,j} \in G_{n_j}$ with $1 \le j \le k$ there exists $x \in X$ so that $d(\underline{g}_{\ell,1}(x), \underline{g}_{\ell,1}(x_1)) < \varepsilon$ for every $\ell = 1 \ldots n_1$ and

$$d(\underline{g}_{\ell,j}\underline{h}_{p_{j-1}} \cdots \underline{g}_{n_2,2}\underline{h}_{p_1}\underline{g}_{n_1,1}(x), \underline{g}_{\ell,j}(x_j)) < \varepsilon$$

for every $j = 2 \dots k$ and $\ell = 1 \dots n_j$.

Main Results

Theorem: Let *G* be a finitely generated semigroup with generators *G*₁. If the semigroup action induced by *G* on the compact metric space *X* is <u>strongly δ^* -expansive</u> and the potentials $\varphi, \psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and satisfy the *bounded distortion property* then:

- 1. $P_{top}((G, G_1), \varphi + c, X) = P_{top}((G, G_1), \varphi, X) + c, \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2. $|P_{top}((G, G_1), \varphi, X) P_{top}((G, G_1), \psi, X)| \le \|\varphi \psi\|$, and
- 3. the pressure function $t \mapsto P_{top}((G, G_1), t\varphi, X)$ is an uniform limit of differentiable maps.

Moreover, $\mathbb{R} \ni \beta \mapsto P_{top}((G, G_1), \beta \varphi, X)$ is differentiable Leb-a.e.

Some results: 2. Positive entropy

Theorem: Let $G \times X \to X$ be a continuous finitely generated continuous semigroup action.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{weak orbital specification} \\ \lim_{p \to \infty} \sup \frac{|G_p^* \setminus \tilde{G}_p|}{m^{\gamma p}} < 1, \forall 0 < \gamma < 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow h_{\text{top}}((G, G_1), X) > 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• strong orbital specification $\Rightarrow h((G, G_1), X) > 0$

Some results: 3. Local complexity

Theorem: Let $G \times X \to X$ be a continuous finitely generated semigroup action s.t. every element $g \in G_1$ is a local homeomorphism.

1.weak orbital specification \Rightarrow every $x \in X$ is an entropy
point for $h((G, G_1), \cdot)$ 2.strong orbital specification \Rightarrow every $x \in X$ is an entropy
point for $h_{top}((G, G_1), \cdot)$

Some results: 3. Local complexity

Theorem: Let $G \times X \to X$ be a continuous finitely generated semigroup action s.t. every element $g \in G_1$ is a local homeomorphism.

1. weak orbital specification \Rightarrow every $x \in X$ is an entropy point for $h((G, G_1), \cdot)$ 2. strong orbital specification \Rightarrow every $x \in X$ is an entropy

point for $h_{top}((G, G_1), \cdot)$

Rmk:
$$h((G, G_1), \overline{U}) \leq h((G, G_1), \overline{U})$$
 for every $\overline{U} \subset X$
 $h_{top}((G, G_1), \overline{U}) \leq h_{top}((G, G_1), X)$
 $h((G, G_1), \overline{U}) \leq h((G, G_1), X)$
Although involve similar ideas, 1. and 2. are independent

Some results: 4. Computing entropy via periodic loops

Theorem: Let G be the semigroup generated by a set G₁ = {g₁,...,g_k} of uniformly expanding maps. Then:
(a) G satisfies the periodic orbital specification property,
(b) 'periodic loops' Per(G) are dense in X, and
(c) the mean growth of periodic points is bounded from below as

$$0 < h_{top}((G, G_1), X) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \Big(\frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{|\underline{g}|=n} \sharp \operatorname{Fix}(\underline{g}) \Big).$$

Rmk: Similarly, the exponential growth rate of 'periodic loops' is larger than the entropy $h((G, G_1), X)$:

$$h((G, G_1), X) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# Per(G_n).$$

Two applications

1. Every $g \in G$ is an expanding map on \mathbb{T}^n

- satisfy strong topological exactness
- satisfy the orbital specification property
- positive entropy
- every point is an entropy point (for h and h_{top})
- topological pressure is a.e. differentiable
- 2. G generated by expanding maps (≥ 2) and isometries
 - the proportion of elements $\underline{h}_p \in G_p^*$ 'not suitable' for orbital specification is fastly convergent to zero as $p \to \infty$

- satisfy the weak orbital specification property
- every point is an entropy point (for h)
- positive entropy
- topological pressure is a.e. differentiable

Thank you!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ