Finite Join Irreducible Semigroups

John RHODES

Joint research with

Edmond W.H. Lee and Benjamin Steinberg

10 June 2015

1. Preliminaries

Main reference: "The q-Theory of Finite Semigroups", Springer Monographs in Mathematics (2009), by Rhodes & Steinberg

- All semigroups are finite.
- S ≺ T means S is a surjective homomorphic image of a subsemigroup of T.
- $S \times T$ denotes the direct product of S and T.
- $S^n = S \times S \times \cdots \times S$ (*n* times)

1. Preliminaries

- A <u>pseudovariety</u> of finite semigroups is a collection of finite semigroups closed under ≺ and ×.
- PV denotes the (complete algebraic) lattice of all pseudovarieties under ⊆.
- (S) denotes the pseudovariety generated by S.
- Pseudovarieties of the form (S) are the compact elements of PV.

1. Preliminaries

An element ℓ of a lattice (L, \leq) is

• join irreducible (ji) if for any $X \subseteq L$,

$$\ell \leq \bigvee X \implies \ell \leq x \text{ for some } x \in X;$$

• meet irreducible (mi) if for any $X \subseteq L$,

$$\ell \ge \bigwedge X \implies \ell \ge x \text{ for some } x \in X;$$

• strictly join irreducible (sji) if for any $X \subseteq L$,

$$\ell = \bigvee X \implies \ell = x \text{ for some } x \in X.$$

2. Definition of Join Irreducible Semigroups

• S is join irreducible (ji) if

$$S \prec A \times B \implies (\exists n \ge 1) \quad S \prec A^n \quad \text{or} \quad S \prec B^n.$$

• S is join irreducible (ji) if (S) is join irreducible in \mathbf{PV} .

These two definitions are equivalent.

2. Definition of Join Irreducible Semigroups

Stronger definitions

• S is <u>x-prime</u> if

$$S \prec A \times B \implies S \prec A \quad \text{or} \quad S \prec B.$$

•
$$S$$
 is Kovacs–Newman (KN) if

 $S \xleftarrow{f} T$ & T is a subsemigroup of $T_1 \times T_2$

 \implies f factors through one of the projections

2. Definition of Join Irreducible Semigroups

Proposition

{KN semigroups} $\subseteq \{x \text{-prime semigroups}\} \subseteq \{j \text{ is semigroups}\}$

- All simple non-Abelian groups are KN.
- Any cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p of prime order p is \times -prime but not KN.
- The Brandt semigroup B_2 of order five is ji but not \times -prime.

$$B_2 = \mathcal{M}^0 \left(\{1\}, 2, 2; \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

3. Three For One (ji/mi Duality)

- If S is ji, then its <u>exclusion class</u> $Excl(S) = \{T \mid S \not\prec T\}$ is a pseudovariety.
- The pseudovariety Excl(S), being meet irreducible, is defined by a single pseudoidentity.
- Join irreducible pseudovarieties are small.
- Meet irreducible pseudovarieties are big.

4. Operations Preserving Join Irreducibility

(1) S is ji \iff its dual \overleftarrow{S} is ji.

(2) S is ji \implies S^I is ji.

- It is possible that $(S) = (S^I)$.
- Converse of (2) is false.
 - $-SL_2 \times RZ_2$ is not ji

$$-((SL_2 \times RZ_2)^I) = (RZ_2^I) = \mathbf{RRB}$$
 is ji.

4. Operations Preserving Join Irreducibility

(3) S is ji \implies S^{bar} is ji.

• $S^{\text{bar}} = \text{right faithful action } (S^{\bullet}, S)$

together with all S^{\bullet} constant maps.

•
$$|S^{\mathsf{bar}}| \le |S| + |S| + 1.$$

- It is possible that $(S) = (S^{bar})$.
- Converse of (3) is false. Counterexample given later.
- \bullet Hence, ignoring trivial cases, if S is a ji semigroup then

$$S, S^{\text{bar}}, (S^{\text{bar}})^{\text{bar}}, (S^{\text{bar}})^{\text{bar}}, \dots$$

is an infinite list of distinct ji semigroups.

Number of semigroups up to isomorphism and anti-isomorphism

n	2	3	4	5	Total
ji semigroups of order n	4	8	33	196	241
semigroups of order n	4	18	126	1160	1308

These semigroups and their dual semigroups generate

30 distinct ji pseudovarieties.

(1)
$$(\mathbb{Z}_2)$$
, (\mathbb{Z}_3) , (\mathbb{Z}_4) , (\mathbb{Z}_5) , $(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{bar}})$, $(\overset{\longleftarrow}{\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{bar}}})$

(2)
$$(N_2)$$
, (N_3) , (N_4) , (N_5) , (N_1^I) , (N_2^I) , (N_3^I) , (N_4^I)
 (N_2^{bar}) , $(\overleftarrow{N_2^{\text{bar}}})$, $(\{N_2^{\text{bar}}\}^I)$, $(\overleftarrow{\{N_2^{\text{bar}}\}^I})$,
where $N_k = \langle a \mid a^k = 0 \rangle$.

(3) (LZ_2) , (LZ_2^I) , (LZ_2^{bar}) , (RZ_2) , (RZ_2^I) , (RZ_2^{bar}) where LZ_2 $[RZ_2]$ is the left [right] zero semigroup of order 2.

(4)
$$(A_2)$$
, (A_0) , (A_0^I)
where $A_2 = \mathcal{M}^0 \left(\{1\}, 2, 2; \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

and A_0 is a subsemigroup of A_2 .

A_0	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	a
b	0	a	b	a
c	0	0	0	c

(5)
$$(B_2)$$
, (ℓ_3^{bar}) , $(\check{\ell}_3^{\text{bar}})$
where $B_2 = \mathcal{M}^0 \left(\{1\}, 2, 2; \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

and ℓ_3 is a subsemigroup of B_2 .

				ℓ_3^{bar}	a	b	c	d	e
ℓ_3	0	a	b	a	a	a	a	d	e
0	0	0	0	b	a	a	a	d	e
a	0	0	0	c	a	b	c	d	e
b	0	a	b	d	a	a	a	d	e
	•			e	a	d	e	d	e

Note: ℓ_3^{bar} is ji but ℓ_3 is not.

6. Beyond Order 5

(1) Comments (time permitting) on:

- Semigroups of order 6.
- Bands.

(2) All finite ji Abelian groups: \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} with p prime and n = 1, 2, ...

6. Beyond Order 5

- (3) Finite groups G in general: G is ji \implies G is <u>monolithic</u> (i.e. G contains a unique minimal normal subgroup $N \neq 1$, called the <u>monolith</u> of G.)
 - $N \cong S^n$ for some simple group S.
 - G is simple non-Abelian $\stackrel{\text{KN}}{\Longrightarrow}$ G is ji
 - (Bergman 2014) $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and N splits $\implies G$ is \times -prime Hence the symmetric group S_3 is ji
 - First unknown group case: (Q₈) = (D₈)
 It is not ×-prime, but is it ji?

6. Beyond Order 5

(4) Known examples of \mathcal{J} -trivial ji semigroups:

•
$$N_n = \langle a \, | \, a^n = 0 \rangle$$
, $n = 1, 2, ...$

•
$$P_n = \langle e, f | e^2 = e, f^2 = f, (ef)^n = 0 \rangle, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

•
$$Q_n = \langle e, f | e^2 = e, f^2 = f, (ef)^n e = 0 \rangle, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

•
$$N_n^I$$
, P_n^I , Q_n^I , $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- Are there other examples?
- (5) All ji KN semigroups are known.

See "The q-Theory of Finite Semigroups"

7. More Milage From Old Results

(1) Use of equational theory for small semigroups.

(2) Techniques from complexity (KR) theory of finite semigroups S is ji & $S \prec T \wr A$ & $T \prec T_1 \times T_2$ $\implies S \prec T_1 \wr A$ or $S \prec T_2 \wr A$