

# Monotonicity and Convexity for Discrete Fractional Difference Operators

*AMS-EMS-SPM International Meeting*

*12 June 2015*

Christopher S. Goodrich<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Creighton Preparatory School

## Introduction and Preliminaries

We begin by considering an important, preliminary definition.

$$\Delta f(t) := f(t + 1) - f(t)$$

Here  $\Delta f(t)$  is the first-order forward difference (or  $\Delta$ -derivative) of the function  $f$  at  $t$ .

## Introduction and Preliminaries

We begin by considering an important, preliminary definition.

$$\Delta f(t) := f(t + 1) - f(t)$$

Here  $\Delta f(t)$  is the first-order forward difference (or  $\Delta$ -derivative) of the function  $f$  at  $t$ . Let's note that (just as in the continuous calculus) one can define  $n$ -fold differences – namely,

$$\Delta^n f(t) := \underbrace{\Delta \Delta \cdots \Delta}_{n \text{ times}} f(t).$$

## Introduction and Preliminaries

We begin by considering an important, preliminary definition.

$$\Delta f(t) := f(t+1) - f(t)$$

Here  $\Delta f(t)$  is the first-order forward difference (or  $\Delta$ -derivative) of the function  $f$  at  $t$ . Let's note that (just as in the continuous calculus) one can define  $n$ -fold differences – namely,

$$\Delta^n f(t) := \underbrace{\Delta \Delta \cdots \Delta}_{n \text{ times}} f(t).$$

For example,

$$\Delta^2 f(t) = \Delta[\Delta f(t)] = \Delta[f(t+1) - f(t)] = f(t+2) - 2f(t+1) + f(t).$$

In the continuous calculus, the function  $f(t) = t^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , plays a special role because it is so easy to find its derivative – namely,  $f'(t) = nt^{n-1}$ .

But  $\Delta [t^n]$  is not as simple as  $\frac{d}{dt} [t^n]$ .

So, what function might take the place of  $f(t) = t^n$  in the difference calculus? It is the **falling factorial function**.

### Definition

We define

$$t^{\underline{\nu}} := \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\nu)},$$

for any real numbers  $t$  and  $\nu$  for which the right-hand side is defined. We also appeal to the convention that if  $t+1-\nu$  is a pole of the Gamma function and  $t+1$  is not a pole, then  $t^{\underline{\nu}} := 0$ .

Here is the key property that makes  $t^\nu$  mathematically interesting.

### Theorem

$$\Delta [t^\nu] = \nu t^{\nu-1}.$$

Here is the key property that makes  $t^\nu$  mathematically interesting.

### Theorem

$$\Delta [t^\nu] = \nu t^{\nu-1}.$$

Let's look at an example.

### Example

Let  $f(t) = t^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Then it holds that

$$\Delta[f(t)] = \frac{3}{2} t^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Now, recall, once again, the forward difference

$$\Delta f(t) := f(t + 1) - f(t),$$

for a suitably defined function  $f$ .



Now, recall, once again, the forward difference

$$\Delta f(t) := f(t+1) - f(t),$$

for a suitably defined function  $f$ .

Consider now instead the **fractional** forward difference (or fractional  $\Delta$ -derivative) of order  $\nu$  defined by

$$\Delta_a^\nu f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \sum_{s=a}^{t+\nu} (t-s-1)^{-\nu-1} f(s),$$

where  $\nu \in (N-1, N)$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $t \in \{a + N - \nu, a + N - \nu + 1, \dots\}$ .

Now, recall, once again, the forward difference

$$\Delta f(t) := f(t+1) - f(t),$$

for a suitably defined function  $f$ .

Consider now instead the **fractional** forward difference (or fractional  $\Delta$ -derivative) of order  $\nu$  defined by

$$\Delta_a^\nu f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \sum_{s=a}^{t+\nu} (t-s-1)^{-\nu-1} f(s),$$

where  $\nu \in (N-1, N)$ , for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  
 $t \in \{a+N-\nu, a+N-\nu+1, \dots\}$ .

**Note:** Notice that this definition incorporates a **nonlocality**.

**Note:** The operator  $\Delta_a^\nu$  induces a domain shift!

So, why should we care at all about these generalizations. (Are they purely “academic”?) Here are a few possible answers:

- 1 Analysis of fractional equations (both discrete and continuous) often is more subtle and complicated than the integer-order counterparts.
- 2 Fractional equations have a memory property.
- 3 Fractional equations have built-in nonlocalities.
- 4 Fractional-order equations can provide for better models, in certain cases, than integer-order equations.
- 5 Certain classical topics in the theory of ODEs and difference equations seem to be exceptionally complicated and mathematically interesting in the fractional setting.
- 6 Fractional integrals and derivatives have proved to be useful in regularity theory and the analysis of PDEs.

## Monotonicity Result

We begin with the following result, which was originally deduced by Dahal and Goodrich (2014) and then refined by Baoguo, Erbe, and Peterson (2015).

### Theorem

*Let  $y : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative function satisfying  $\Delta y(a) \geq 0$ . Fix  $\nu \in (1, 2)$  and suppose that  $\Delta_a^\nu y(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ . Then  $y$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{N}_a$ .*

## Monotonicity Result

We begin with the following result, which was originally deduced by Dahal and Goodrich (2014) and then refined by Baoguo, Erbe, and Peterson (2015).

### Theorem

*Let  $y : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative function satisfying  $\Delta y(a) \geq 0$ . Fix  $\nu \in (1, 2)$  and suppose that  $\Delta_a^\nu y(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ . Then  $y$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{N}_a$ .*

**Notation:** We write  $\mathbb{N}_a := \{a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots\}$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $y : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative function satisfying  $\Delta y(a) \geq 0$ . Fix  $\nu \in (1, 2)$  and suppose that  $\Delta_a^\nu y(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ . Then  $y$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{N}_a$ .

**Note:** One must require some relationship between  $y(a)$  and  $y(a+1)$ .

## Example

Let  $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(t) = 2^{-t}$ , and assume that  $\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{2} < \nu < 2$ . It can be shown that

- $\Delta_0^\nu f(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{2-\nu}$ ; and
- $f(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

but  $f(t)$  is **NOT** increasing on  $\mathbb{N}_1$ . The problem is that  $\Delta f(0) < 0$ .

One can further refine the preceding monotonicity theorem in the following way. This result is due to Baoguo, Erbe, Goodrich, and Peterson (2015).

### Theorem

*Assume that  $f : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and that  $\Delta_a^\nu f(t) \geq 0$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ , with  $1 < \nu < 2$ . If*

$$f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+2} f(a)$$

*for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$ .*

One can further refine the preceding monotonicity theorem in the following way. This result is due to Baoguo, Erbe, Goodrich, and Peterson (2015).

### Theorem

Assume that  $f : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and that  $\Delta_a^\nu f(t) \geq 0$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$ , with  $1 < \nu < 2$ . If

$$f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+2} f(a)$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\Delta f(t) \geq 0$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$ .

**Note:** This result does NOT require that  $f$  be increasing “at”  $t = a$ . Thus, we are able to weaken one of the assumptions in the original result.



As an application we have the following.

### Example

Assume that  $f : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a map satisfying the following.

- $\Delta_a^\nu f(t) \geq 0$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$
- $f(a) \geq 0$
- $f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{2}f(a)$

Then  $f$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{N}_{a+1}$ .

As an application we have the following.

### Example

Assume that  $f : \mathbb{N}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a map satisfying the following.

- $\Delta_a^\nu f(t) \geq 0$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+2-\nu}$
- $f(a) \geq 0$
- $f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{2}f(a)$

Then  $f$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{N}_{a+1}$ .

**Note:** The point is that if  $f(a) \geq 0$ , then

$$f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{2}f(a) \geq \frac{\nu}{3}f(a) \geq \frac{\nu}{4}f(a) \geq \dots \geq 0$$

holds. So, the condition  $f(a+1) \geq \frac{\nu}{k+2}f(a)$  immediately holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

## Convexity Results

We begin with the following result, which was originally discovered by Goodrich (2014) and then refined by Baoguo, Erbe, and Peterson (2015).

### Theorem

If  $2 < \mu < 3$  and  $y : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

- 1  $y(0) = 0$ ;
- 2  $\Delta y(0) \geq 0$ ;
- 3  $\Delta^2 y(0) \geq 0$ ; and
- 4  $\Delta_0^\mu y(t) \geq 0$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{3-\mu}$ ,

then  $\Delta^2 y(t) \geq 0$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

We can provide an application of this result to a problem in discrete fractional-order boundary value problems.

### Example

If the continuous function  $f : \mathbb{N}_{\mu-1}^{\mu+b} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nonnegative and  $2 < \mu < 3$ , then, for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0^{b+1} =: \{0, 1, \dots, b+1\}$ , the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mu-3}^{\mu} y(t) &= f(t + \mu - 1, y(t + \mu - 1)) \\ y(\mu - 3) &= 0 = \Delta y(\mu - 3) \\ y(\mu + b + 1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

has no nontrivial positive solution.

Similar to the monotonicity result, the preceding convexity-type result has recently been refined in the following way by Goodrich (2015).

### Theorem

Fix  $\nu \in (2, 3)$  and suppose that  $\Delta_a^\nu f(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{3+a-\nu}$ . If for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$  it holds that

$$\frac{1}{-\nu + 1} f(a+2) + \frac{\nu + 2 + k}{(\nu - 1)(3 + k)} f(a+1) - \frac{\nu}{(3 + k)(4 + k)} f(a) \leq 0,$$

then  $\Delta^2 f(t) \geq 0$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}$ .

Importantly, we make the following observation regarding the hypothesis

$$\frac{1}{-\nu + 1}f(a+2) + \frac{\nu + 2 + k}{(\nu - 1)(3 + k)}f(a+1) - \frac{\nu}{(3 + k)(4 + k)}f(a) \leq 0,$$

which occurs in the preceding “improved convexity” result.

### Example

If we put  $f(a) = 0$ ,  $f(a + 1) = 1$ , and  $f(a + 2) = 1.9$  and we also fix  $\nu = \frac{5}{2} \in (2, 3)$ , then we calculate, for example,

$$\frac{1}{-\nu + 1}f(a + 2) + \frac{\nu + 1}{2(\nu - 1)}f(a + 1) - \frac{\nu}{6}f(a) \leq 0;$$

the condition holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$ , in fact.

Importantly, we make the following observation regarding the hypothesis

$$\frac{1}{-\nu + 1} f(a+2) + \frac{\nu + 2 + k}{(\nu - 1)(3 + k)} f(a+1) - \frac{\nu}{(3 + k)(4 + k)} f(a) \leq 0,$$

which occurs in the preceding “improved convexity” result.

### Example

If we put  $f(a) = 0$ ,  $f(a + 1) = 1$ , and  $f(a + 2) = 1.9$  and we also fix  $\nu = \frac{5}{2} \in (2, 3)$ , then we calculate, for example,

$$\frac{1}{-\nu + 1} f(a + 2) + \frac{\nu + 1}{2(\nu - 1)} f(a + 1) - \frac{\nu}{6} f(a) \leq 0;$$

the condition holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$ , in fact. **Yet we observe that**  
 $\Delta^2 f(a) = -\frac{1}{10} < 0.$

## Selected References

- [1] J. Baoguo, L. Erbe, A. Peterson, *Two monotonicity results for nabla and delta fractional differences*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **104** (2015), 589–597
- [2] J. Baoguo, L. Erbe, A. Peterson, *Convexity for nabla and delta fractional differences*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **21** (2015), 360–373
- [3] R. Dahal, C. S. Goodrich, *A monotonicity result for discrete fractional difference operators*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **102** (2014), 293–299
- [4] C. S. Goodrich, *A convexity result for fractional differences*, Appl. Math. Lett. **35** (2014), 58–62
- [5] C. S. Goodrich, A. Peterson, *Discrete Fractional Calculus*, Springer (2015), to appear



***Thank you for your attention!***