

Supersymmetry
decomposes
the virtual bundle that underlies the elliptic genus

GEOMETRICAL AND ENUMERATIVE STRUCTURES IN SUPERSYMMTRY,
SPECIAL SESSION 25 OF THE 2015 INTERNATIONAL AMS-EMS-SPM MEETING,

Porto, Portugal, June 10-13, 2015

Katrin Wendland
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

The elliptic genus under extended supersymmetry

Introduction

- 1 The elliptic genus of CY manifolds and of SCFTs
- 2 Decomposing under extended supersymmetry
- 3 Interpretation in terms of Mathieu Moonshine

[Creutzig/W15], *work in progress*

[W15] *K3 en route from geometry to conformal field theory*;
to appear in: Proceedings of the 2013 Summer School "Geometric, Algebraic and Topological Methods for Quantum Field Theory", Villa de Leyva, Colombia;
arXiv:1503.08426 [math.DG]

[W14] *Snapshots of conformal field theory*;
in "Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Field Theories", Mathematical Physics Studies, Springer 2015, pp. 89-129; arXiv:1404.3108 [hep-th]

[Taormina/W13] *A twist in the M_{24} moonshine story*, to appear in *Confluentes Mathematici*;
arXiv:1303.3221 [hep-th]

[Taormina/W12] *Symmetry-surfing the moduli space of Kummer $K3$ s*, to appear in: Proceedings of String-Math 2012; arXiv:1303.2931 [hep-th]

[Taormina/W11] *The overarching finite symmetry group of Kummer surfaces in the Mathieu group M_{24}* , JHEP **1308**:152 (2013); arXiv:1107.3834 [hep-th]

1. The elliptic genus of Calabi-Yau manifolds...

Let M denote a compact Calabi-Yau D -fold, $T := T^{1,0}M$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} := y^{-\frac{D}{2}} \Lambda_{-y} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_{-yq^n} T^* \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}q^n} T \otimes S_{q^n} T^* \otimes S_{q^n} T],$$

where for any bundle $E \rightarrow M$, $\Lambda_x E := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m \Lambda^m E$, $S_x E := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m S^m E$

Definition

With $q := e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $y := e^{2\pi iz}$ for $\tau, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_M(\tau, z) := \chi(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y}) = \int_M \text{Td}(M) \text{ch}(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y})$$

is the ELLIPTIC GENUS of M .

1. The elliptic genus of Calabi-Yau manifolds...

Let M denote a compact Calabi-Yau D -fold, $T := T^{1,0}M$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} := y^{-\frac{D}{2}} \Lambda_{-y} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_{-yq^n} T^* \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}q^n} T \otimes S_{q^n} T^* \otimes S_{q^n} T],$$

where for any bundle $E \rightarrow M$, $\Lambda_x E := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m \wedge^m E$, $S_x E := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m S^m E$

Definition

With $q := e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $y := e^{2\pi iz}$ for $\tau, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_M(\tau, z) := \chi(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y}) = \int_M \text{Td}(M) \text{ch}(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y})$$

is the ELLIPTIC GENUS of M .

For M : a K3 surface,

that is, a compact Calabi-Yau 2-fold with $h^{1,0}(M) = 0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = 8 \left(\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_2(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 + 8 \left(\frac{\vartheta_3(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_3(\tau, 0)} \right)^2 + 8 \left(\frac{\vartheta_4(\tau, z)}{\vartheta_4(\tau, 0)} \right)^2.$$

1. ... and for superconformal field theories

CFT ELLIPTIC GENUS

of an $N = (2, 2)$ SCFT at central charges (c, \bar{c}) with space-time SUSY and integral $U(1)$ charges:

$$\mathcal{E}_{CFT}(\tau, z) := \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_R} \left(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - c/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0 - \bar{c}/24} \right),$$

\mathcal{H}_R : Ramond sector,

J_0, L_0, \bar{L}_0 : zero modes of the $U(1)$ -current and Virasoro fields in the SCA

For every $N = (2, 2)$ SCFT at central charges $c = \bar{c} = 6$ with space-time SUSY and integral $U(1)$ charges, the CFT elliptic genus $\mathcal{E}_{CFT}(\tau, z)$ either vanishes, or it agrees with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z)$.

The theory has $N = (4, 4)$ SUSY.

Examples:

toroidal SCFTs, their orbifolds, Gepner models at $c = \bar{c} = 6$

1. ... and for superconformal field theories

CFT ELLIPTIC GENUS

of an $N = (2, 2)$ SCFT at central charges (c, \bar{c}) with space-time SUSY and integral $U(1)$ charges:

$$\mathcal{E}_{CFT}(\tau, z) := s\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_R} \left(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - c/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0 - \bar{c}/24} \right),$$

\mathcal{H}_R : Ramond sector,

J_0, L_0, \bar{L}_0 : zero modes of the $U(1)$ -current and Virasoro fields in the SCA

For every $N = (2, 2)$ SCFT at central charges $c = \bar{c} = 6$ with space-time SUSY and integral $U(1)$ charges, the CFT elliptic genus $\mathcal{E}_{CFT}(\tau, z)$ either vanishes, or it agrees with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z)$.

The theory has $N = (4, 4)$ SUSY.

Examples:

toroidal SCFTs, their orbifolds, Gepner models at $c = \bar{c} = 6$

Definition

A **K3 THEORY** is an $N = (4, 4)$ SCFT at $c = \bar{c} = 6$ with space-time SUSY, integral $U(1)$ charges and CFT elliptic genus $\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z)$.

2. Decomposing under $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry

Assume: $D = 2$, i.e. $c = \bar{c} = 6$ and $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry.

3 types of $N = 4$ irreps \mathcal{H}_\bullet with $\chi_\bullet(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet} (y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$:
 VACUUM \mathcal{H}_0 , MASSLESS MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{m.m.}$, MASSIVE MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{h>0}$.

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z)$$

2. Decomposing under $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry

Assume: $D = 2$, i.e. $c = \bar{c} = 6$ and $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry.

3 types of $N = 4$ irreps \mathcal{H}_\bullet with $\chi_\bullet(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet} (y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$:
 VACUUM \mathcal{H}_0 , MASSLESS MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{m.m.}$, MASSIVE MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{h>0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) &= -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z) \\ &= \int_{K3} \text{Td}(K3) \text{ch}(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y}) \end{aligned}$$

2. Decomposing under $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry

Assume: $D = 2$, i.e. $c = \bar{c} = 6$ and $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry.

3 types of $N = 4$ irreps \mathcal{H}_\bullet with $\chi_\bullet(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet}(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$:
 VACUUM \mathcal{H}_0 , MASSLESS MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{m.m.}$, MASSIVE MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{h>0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) &= -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z) \\ &= \int_{K3} \text{Td}(K3) \text{ch}(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y}) \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture [W13]

Let $M=K3$. There are polynomials p_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} = -\mathcal{O}_{K3} \chi_0(\tau, z) \oplus (-T) \chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(T) \chi_n(\tau, z),$$

where $A_n = \int_{K3} \text{Td}(K3) p_n(T) = \chi(p_n(T))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Decomposing under $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry

Assume: $D = 2$, i.e. $c = \bar{c} = 6$ and $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry.

3 types of $N = 4$ irreps \mathcal{H}_\bullet with $\chi_\bullet(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet}(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$:
 VACUUM \mathcal{H}_0 , MASSLESS MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{m.m.}$, MASSIVE MATTER $\mathcal{H}_{h>0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) &= -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z) \\ &= \int_{K3} \text{Td}(K3) \text{ch}(\mathbb{E}_{q,-y}) \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture [W13]

Let $M=K3$. There are polynomials p_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} = -\mathcal{O}_{K3} \chi_0(\tau, z) \oplus (-T) \chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(T) \chi_n(\tau, z),$$

where $A_n = \int_{K3} \text{Td}(K3) p_n(T) = \chi(p_n(T))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

proof: [Creutzig/W14]

Decomposing the virtual bundle: Ideas of proof

Observation:

$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} = y^{-\frac{D}{2}} \Lambda_{-y} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_{-y} q^n T^* \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}} q^n T \otimes S_{q^n} T^* \otimes S_{q^n} T]$

is an $SU(2)$ principal bundle under the holonomy representation.

Decomposing the virtual bundle: Ideas of proof

Observation:

$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} = y^{-\frac{D}{2}} \Lambda_{-y} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_{-y} q^n T^* \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}} q^n T \otimes S_{q^n} T^* \otimes S_{q^n} T]$

is an $SU(2)$ principal bundle under the holonomy representation.

holonomy distribution
 \implies

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n \otimes M_n,$$

W_n : $SU(2)$ principal bundle for the n -dimensional irrep of $SU(2)$,
 M_n : multiplicity space

Decomposing the virtual bundle: Ideas of proof

Observation:

$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} = y^{-\frac{D}{2}} \Lambda_{-y} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_{-y} q^n T^* \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}} q^n T \otimes S_{q^n} T^* \otimes S_{q^n} T]$

is an $SU(2)$ principal bundle under the holonomy representation.

holonomy distribution
 \implies

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n \otimes M_n,$$

W_n : $SU(2)$ principal bundle for the n -dimensional irrep of $SU(2)$,
 M_n : multiplicity space (bigraded with respect to a SUSY action)

Decomposing the virtual bundle: Ideas of proof

Observation:

$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} = y^{-\frac{D}{2}} \Lambda_{-y} T^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} [\Lambda_{-y} q^n T^* \otimes \Lambda_{-y^{-1}} q^n T \otimes S_{q^n} T^* \otimes S_{q^n} T]$

 is an $SU(2)$ principal bundle under the holonomy representation.

holonomy distribution
 \implies

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n \otimes M_n,$$

W_n : $SU(2)$ principal bundle for the n -dimensional irrep of $SU(2)$,
 M_n : multiplicity space (bigraded with respect to a SUSY action)

Conclusion (using [Malikov/Schechtman/Vaintrob99]):

$W_n = S^{n-1}(T)$ is invariant under the $N = 4$ SUSY action,

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,-y} \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n \otimes M_n = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S^{n-1}(T) \kappa_n(\tau, z),$$

where each $\kappa_n(\tau, z)$ decomposes into $N = 4$ characters.

3. A conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa (2010)

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z), \quad \chi_{\bullet}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}}(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$$

Theorem [Gannon12] using results of Cheng, Duncan, Gaberdiel, Hohenegger, Persson, Ronellenfitsch, Volpato

There exists a representation \mathcal{R}_n of M_{24} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.th.

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}} := (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus 20\mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}^{(g)}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}}}(g y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$, $g \in M_{24}$, “twisted genera”.

3. A conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa (2010)

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z), \quad \chi_{\bullet}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}}(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$$

Theorem [Gannon12] using results of Cheng, Duncan, Gaberdiel, Hohenegger, Person, Ronellenfisch, Volpato

There exists a representation \mathcal{R}_n of M_{24} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.th.

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}} := (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus 20\mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}^{(g)}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}}} (g y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$, $g \in M_{24}$, “twisted genera”.

WHY?

3. A conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa (2010)

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z), \quad \chi_{\bullet}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}} (y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$$

Theorem [Gannon12] using results of Cheng, Duncan, Gaberdiel, Hohenegger, Person, Ronellenfisch, Volpato

There exists a representation \mathcal{R}_n of M_{24} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.th.

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}} := (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus 20\mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}^{(g)}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}}} (g y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$, $g \in M_{24}$, “twisted genera”.

HOW?

3. A conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa (2010)

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z), \quad \chi_{\bullet}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}}(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$$

Theorem [Gannon12] using results of Cheng, Duncan, Gaberdiel, Hohenegger, Person, Ronellenfisch, Volpato

There exists a representation \mathcal{R}_n of M_{24} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.th.

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}} := (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus 20\mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}^{(g)}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}}}(gy^{J_0}q^{L_0-1/4})$, $g \in M_{24}$, “twisted genera”.

Theorem [Mukai88]

If G is a symmetry group of a K3 surface M ,

that is, G fixes the two-forms that define the hyperkähler structure of M ,

then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Mathieu group M_{24} ,
and $|G| \leq 960 \ll 244.823.040 = |M_{24}|$.

3. A conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa (2010)

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z), \quad \chi_{\bullet}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}}(y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$$

Theorem [Gannon12] using results of Cheng, Duncan, Gaberdiel, Hohenegger, Person, Ronellenfisch, Volpato

There exists a representation \mathcal{R}_n of M_{24} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.th.

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}} := (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus 20\mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}^{(g)}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}}}(gy^{J_0}q^{L_0-1/4})$, $g \in M_{24}$, “twisted genera”.

Theorem [Mukai88]

If G is a symmetry group of a K3 surface M ,

then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Mathieu group M_{24} ,

$$\text{and } |G| \leq 960 \ll 244.823.040 = |M_{24}|.$$

[Gaberdiel/Hohenegger/Volpato11]

M_{24} cannot act as symmetry group of a K3 theory.

3. A conjecture of Eguchi, Ooguri and Tachikawa (2010)

$$\mathcal{E}_{K3}(\tau, z) = -2\chi_0(\tau, z) + 20\chi_{m.m.}(\tau, z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \chi_n(\tau, z), \quad \chi_{\bullet}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}} (y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$$

Theorem [Gannon12] using results of Cheng, Duncan, Gaberdiel, Hohenegger, Person, Ronellenfisch, Volpato

There exists a representation \mathcal{R}_n of M_{24} for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.th.

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}} := (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus 20\mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{K3}^{(g)}(\tau, z) = \text{sTr}_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Gan.}}} (g y^{J_0} q^{L_0 - 1/4})$, $g \in M_{24}$, “twisted genera”.

Theorem [Mukai88]

If G is a symmetry group of a K3 surface M ,

then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Mathieu group M_{24} ,

$$\text{and } |G| \leq 960 \ll 244.823.040 = |M_{24}|.$$

[Gaberdiel/Hohenegger/Volpato11]

M_{24} cannot act as symmetry group of a K3 theory.

[Creutzig/W14]

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n = \chi(p_n(T))$.

Solving Mathieu Moonshine by Symmetry Surfing?

Conjecture [Taormina/W10-13]

In every **geometric interpretation**, we have $\mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R,gen}$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{R,gen} \cong (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}_{m.m.} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{R}_{Gan.}$$

as a representation of the geometric symmetry group $G \subset M_{24}$;

$\mathcal{R}_{Gan.}$ **collects symmetries** from distinct pts. of the moduli space.

We call this procedure **SYMMETRY SURFING**.

Solving Mathieu Moonshine by Symmetry Surfing?

Conjecture [Taormina/W10-13]

In every **geometric interpretation**, we have $\mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R,gen}$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{R,gen} \cong (-2)\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}_{m.m.} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m.m.} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{R}_{Gan.}$$

as a representation of the geometric symmetry group $G \subset M_{24}$;
 $\mathcal{R}_{Gan.}$ **collects symmetries** from distinct pts. of the moduli space.

We call this procedure **SYMMETRY SURFING**.

Results [Taormina/W11&12&13]

Restricting to the **geometric \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold CFTs** on K3:

- The **joint action** of **all geometric symmetry groups** yields the **maximal** subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_8 \subset M_{24}$.
Note: $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_8$ is **not** a subgroup of M_{23} .
- \mathcal{R}_1 arises as a space of **common** states with an action of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \rtimes A_8$ induced from the **45** \oplus **45** of M_{24} .
Note: There is a **twist** in this action.

SUPERSYMMETRY DECOMPOSES THE VIRTUAL BUNDLE THAT UNDERLIES THE ELLIPTIC GENUS

SUPERSYMMETRY DECOMPOSES THE VIRTUAL BUNDLE THAT UNDERLIES THE ELLIPTIC GENUS

THANK YOU
FOR YOUR ATTENTION!