

Quasi-split real groups and the Hitchin map

International Meeting AMS/EMS/SPM
Special session Higgs bundles and character varieties
Porto, June 2015

Ana Peón-Nieto

Mathematisches Institut
Ruprecht–Karls Universität
Heidelberg

Index

- 1 *G*-Higgs bundles and the Hitchin map
- 2 Abelianization
- 3 The Hitchin–Kostant–Rallis section
- 4 What's next?

G-Higgs bundles

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition,

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^{\mathbb{C}} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle on X* is a pair (E, ϕ)

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle on X* is a pair (E, ϕ) with $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal $H^\mathbb{C}$ -bundle

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle* on X is a pair (E, ϕ) with $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal $H^\mathbb{C}$ -bundle and $\phi \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}) \otimes K)$ the Higgs field.

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle* on X is a pair (E, ϕ) with $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal $H^\mathbb{C}$ -bundle and $\phi \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}) \otimes K)$ the Higgs field.

Examples

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle* on X is a pair (E, ϕ) with $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal $H^\mathbb{C}$ -bundle and $\phi \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}) \otimes K)$ the Higgs field.

Examples

1. $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$: E rk n vector bundle, $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$.

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle* on X is a pair (E, ϕ) with $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal $H^\mathbb{C}$ -bundle and $\phi \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}) \otimes K)$ the Higgs field.

Examples

1. $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$: E rk n vector bundle, $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$.
2. $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$: $E \cong E^*$ rk n v.b.+symmetric form, ϕ symmetric.

G-Higgs bundles

- X connected smooth projective curve/ \mathbb{C} , $g(X) \geq 2$.
- G real reductive Lie group ($\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $H \leq G$ maximal compact subgroup ($\mathrm{O}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$).
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ Cartan decomposition, θ Cartan involution.
- $H^\mathbb{C} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ isotropy representation ($\mathrm{O}(n) \curvearrowright \mathfrak{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$).

Definition

A *G-Higgs bundle* on X is a pair (E, ϕ) with $E \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal $H^\mathbb{C}$ -bundle and $\phi \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}) \otimes K)$ the Higgs field.

Examples

1. $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$: E rk n vector bundle, $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$.
2. $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$: $E \cong E^*$ rk n v.b.+symmetric form, ϕ symmetric.
3. $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$: $E = V \oplus W$, $\phi = (\beta, \gamma) : V \xrightarrow{\gamma} W \otimes K$, $W \xrightarrow{\beta} V \otimes K$.

The Hitchin map

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i})$$

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)
 $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}]^{H^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generators,

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)
 $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}]^{H^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generators, $d_i = \deg p_i$.

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)
 $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}]^{H^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generators, $d_i = \deg p_i$.

Examples

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)
 $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}]^{H^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generators, $d_i = \deg p_i$.

Examples

1. $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ $p_i(x) = \text{tr}(x^i)$ $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)
 $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}]^{H^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generators, $d_i = \deg p_i$.

Examples

1. $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ $p_i(x) = \text{tr}(x^i)$ $i = 1, \dots, n$.
2. $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$: $p_i(x) = \text{tr}(x^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (split).

The Hitchin map

Definition

The Hitchin map is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} h_G : \mathcal{M}(G) &\rightarrow B_G := H^0(X, \bigoplus_i K^{d_i}) \\ (E, \phi) &\mapsto (p_1(\phi), \dots, p_r(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$ moduli space of ps. Higgs bundles ($\cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1, G)/\!/G$)
 $r = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}]^{H^{\mathbb{C}}}$ generators, $d_i = \deg p_i$.

Examples

1. $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ $p_i(x) = \text{tr}(x^i)$ $i = 1, \dots, n$.
2. $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$: $p_i(x) = \text{tr}(x^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (split).
3. $\text{U}(p, q)$: $p_i(x) = \text{tr}(x^{2i})$, $i = 1, \dots, q$ ($p > q$).

Understanding the Hitchin map

Understanding the Hitchin map

Two major steps:

Understanding the Hitchin map

Two major steps:

- ① Study of the fibers

Understanding the Hitchin map

Two major steps:

- ① Study of the fibers \leadsto abelianization (complex groups).

Understanding the Hitchin map

Two major steps:

- ① Study of the fibers \leadsto abelianization (complex groups).
- ② Existence of a section

Understanding the Hitchin map

Two major steps:

- ① Study of the fibers \rightsquigarrow abelianization (complex groups).
- ② Existence of a section \rightsquigarrow Hitchin section ($\mathbb{C}/\text{split groups}$)

Understanding the Hitchin map

Two major steps:

- ① Study of the fibers \leadsto abelianization (complex groups).
- ② Existence of a section \leadsto Hitchin section (\mathbb{C} /split groups),
Hitchin–Kostant–Rallis section
(real groups).

Abelianization

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues)

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow spectral curve,

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow spectral curve,
 - (b) diagonal matrices (ordered eigenvalues)

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow spectral curve,
 - (b) diagonal matrices (ordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow cameral curve.

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow spectral curve,
 - (b) diagonal matrices (ordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow cameral curve.
- (b) generalises to all reductive groups:

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow spectral curve,
 - (b) diagonal matrices (ordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow cameral curve.
- (b) generalises to all reductive groups:
diagonal matrices \leftrightarrow Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}}$

Abelianization

- Idea: Higgs bundles \leftrightarrow eigen-line bundles
- Eigenvalues parametrized by
 - (a) characteristic polynomials (unordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow spectral curve,
 - (b) diagonal matrices (ordered eigenvalues) \rightsquigarrow cameral curve.
- (b) generalises to all reductive groups:
diagonal matrices \leftrightarrow Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}}$
- eigenbundles \leftrightarrow principal bundles of tori $D^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Spectral vs. cameral covers

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($GL(2, \mathbb{C})$):

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \text{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($GL(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda Id) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \mathrm{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \mathrm{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \phi_{ss}$ semisimple part

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \text{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \phi_{ss}$ semisimple part up to conjugation $\phi_{ss} \in K \oplus K$.

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \text{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \phi_{ss}$ semisimple part up to conjugation $\phi_{ss} \in K \oplus K$.
- $\bar{a} := h(E, \phi) = (\text{tr } \phi, \det \phi) : X \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \text{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \phi_{ss}$ semisimple part up to conjugation $\phi_{ss} \in K \oplus K$.
- $\bar{a} := h(E, \phi) = (\text{tr} \phi, \det \phi) : X \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$
- $K \oplus K \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \text{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \text{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \phi_{ss}$ semisimple part up to conjugation $\phi_{ss} \in K \oplus K$.
- $\bar{a} := h(E, \phi) = (\text{tr} \phi, \det \phi) : X \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$
- $K \oplus K \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$ $(I, I') \mapsto (I + I', I \cdot I')$

Spectral vs. cameral covers

(a) Spectral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$):

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{char}(\phi) = \lambda^2 + \pi^* a_1 \lambda + \pi^* a_2 =: s_{\bar{a}} \in H^0(|K|, \pi^* K)$
- $X_{\bar{a}} := \{s_{\bar{a}} = 0\}$ spectral curve $\ker(\pi^* \phi - \lambda \mathrm{Id}) = L_\lambda$.

Theorem (Hitchin, 87)

$(E, \phi) \leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ yields an isomorphism $h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X}_{\bar{a}})$

(b) Cameral techniques ($\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$)

- $(E, \phi) \rightsquigarrow \phi_{ss}$ semisimple part up to conjugation $\phi_{ss} \in K \oplus K$.
- $\bar{a} := h(E, \phi) = (\mathrm{tr} \phi, \det \phi) : X \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$
- $K \oplus K \rightarrow K \oplus K^2$ $(I, I') \mapsto (I + I', I \cdot I')$

Theorem (Donagi, 93, D-Gaitsgory 02)

$h^{-1}(\bar{a}) \cong H^1(\hat{X}_{\bar{a}}, (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2)^{S_2}$ where $\hat{X}_{\bar{a}} := \bar{a}^* K^{\oplus 2}$ cameral cover

Quasi-split real groups

Quasi-split real groups

Examples:

- complex groups,

Quasi-split real groups

Examples:

- complex groups,
- split $(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, n+1), \mathrm{SO}(n, n))$

Quasi-split real groups

Examples:

- complex groups,
- split $(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, n+1), \mathrm{SO}(n, n))$
- $\mathrm{SU}(p, p), \mathrm{SU}(p+1, p), \mathrm{SO}(p, p+2)$

Quasi-split real groups

Examples:

- complex groups,
- split $(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, n+1), \mathrm{SO}(n, n))$
- $\mathrm{SU}(p, p), \mathrm{SU}(p+1, p), \mathrm{SO}(p, p+2)$

What is special about them?

Quasi-split real groups

Examples:

- complex groups,
- split $(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, n+1), \mathrm{SO}(n, n))$
- $\mathrm{SU}(p, p), \mathrm{SU}(p+1, p), \mathrm{SO}(p, p+2)$

What is special about them?

- Most elements in $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ have one dimensional eigenspaces (matrix groups).

Quasi-split real groups

Examples:

- complex groups,
- split $(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, n+1), \mathrm{SO}(n, n))$
- $\mathrm{SU}(p, p), \mathrm{SU}(p+1, p), \mathrm{SO}(p, p+2)$

What is special about them?

- Most elements in $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ have one dimensional eigenspaces (matrix groups).
- In other words $\mathfrak{m}_{reg} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{reg}$ (any group).

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ Cartan subalgebra

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N.)

Let $a \in B_G$, define its associated cameral cover by

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N.)

Let $a \in B_G$, define its associated cameral cover by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W & & \end{array}$$

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N.)

Let $a \in B_G$, define its associated cameral cover by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W \end{array}$$

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N.)

Let $a \in B_G$, define its associated cameral cover by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X}_a & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W \end{array}$$

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N.)

Let $a \in B_G$, define its associated cameral cover by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X}_a & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W \end{array}$$

Then $h^{-1}(a) \cong H^1(\widehat{X}_a, D^{\mathbb{C}})^{W, \theta}$

The Hitchin fibers for quasi-split real groups

- G quasi-split real reductive group; θ Cartan involution.
- $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ θ -inv. Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$; $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- W Weyl group
- We note $\bigoplus_i K^{d_i} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W$

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N.)

Let $a \in B_G$, define its associated cameral cover by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X}_a & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathfrak{d}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes K/W \end{array}$$

Then $h^{-1}(a) \cong H^1(\widehat{X}_a, D^{\mathbb{C}})^{W, \theta}(\text{regular})$

Two applications

Two applications

Corollary

If $G < G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is split then $h_G^{-1}(\bar{a}) = h_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(a)[2]$.

Two applications

Corollary

If $G < G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is split then $h_G^{-1}(\bar{a}) = h_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(a)[2]$.

Theorem (P-N.)

If $G = SU(p+1, p)$, $\bar{a} \in B_{SU(p+1, p)}$ generic

Two applications

Corollary

If $G < G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is split then $h_G^{-1}(\bar{a}) = h_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(a)[2]$.

Theorem (P-N.)

If $G = SU(p+1, p)$, $\bar{a} \in B_{SU(p+1, p)}$ generic $h_G^{-1}(a)$ is a bundle of projective spaces over $Pic(Y)$

Two applications

Corollary

If $G < G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is split then $h_G^{-1}(\bar{a}) = h_{G^{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(a)[2]$.

Theorem (P-N.)

If $G = SU(p+1, p)$, $\bar{a} \in B_{SU(p+1, p)}$ generic $h_G^{-1}(a)$ is a bundle of projective spaces over $Pic(Y)$

$$Y = \{\lambda^{2p} + a_2\lambda^{2p-2} + \cdots + a_{2p} = 0\}.$$

The Hitchin–Kostant–Rallis section

The Hitchin–Kostant–Rallis section

Theorem (García-Prada, P-N., Ramanan)

Let G be quasi-split. Then, there exists a section

$$s : B_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)^{\text{smooth}}$$

such that $s(a) = (E_a, \phi_a)$ is everywhere regular ($\phi_a(x) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\text{reg}}$).

What's next?

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved
e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

- ② Geometry of the HKR section.

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

- ② Geometry of the HKR section.

Image consists of Anosov representations

What's next?

- ① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

- ② Geometry of the HKR section.

Image consists of Anosov representations for minimal parabolic subgroups.

What's next?

① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

② Geometry of the HKR section.

Image consists of Anosov representations for minimal parabolic subgroups.

③ Langlands duality

What's next?

① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

② Geometry of the HKR section.

Image consists of Anosov representations for minimal parabolic subgroups.

③ Langlands duality

Toy example: Hitchin ($U(p, p)$) vs. $Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles)

What's next?

① “Non-abelianizable” case.

Sheaves of non abelian groups involved

e.g. for $U(p, q)$, $GL(p - q, \mathbb{C}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^q$

Decompose $\mathcal{M}(G)$ into abelian $\mathcal{M}(G_{qs})$ and non abelian M

e.g. $h_{U(p,q)}^{-1}(a)$ in terms of $BGL(p - q, \mathbb{C})$ and $h_{U(p,p)}^{-1}(a)$.

② Geometry of the HKR section.

Image consists of Anosov representations for minimal parabolic subgroups.

③ Langlands duality

Toy example: Hitchin ($U(p, p)$) vs. $Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles)